

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/820,257

Applicant(s)

JAIN ET AL.

Examiner

Dieu-Minh Le

Art Unit

2114

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dieu-Minh Le. (3) _____.

(2) Brenna A. Brock [Reg. No. 48,509]. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14-28; 36-48; 55-66; and 74-83.

Identification of prior art discussed: Orda and Ofek.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Main issues discussed were:

- Clarify the cancelled claims on page 4. [claims 29-37 are now cancelled];
- on the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review: Clarify type errors on page 1 [claims 29-37]; on page 5 [claims 38-48];
- Applicant's Attorney agreed to file RCE to amend all the Independence claims [1+17+28], [38+41+Heap data structure], [55+59+Heap data structure], [74+77+Heap data structure]; and cancel claims 17, 28, 41, 57 & 77. Examiner will consider the amendment base on updated search when the official paper is filed.